App F IX 4

Previous References
ML 119 — 40 — 28 (194/ ML
92 - 33 — 6 8 11 12
ML 142 — 42 — 4, 5
Later References
ml 42-46 &

ML **File No** 66 — 41
Serial Nos 9, 10, 11, 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4

Part B for Feb 1942

SUBJECT

Re distribution of electoral areas in the Bangalore City Municipality, abolition of the system of Nominations to the Municipal Council

To The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City, from the Pondas

Sl 12) L 75-30 dt 29 2 42

States that there is no need for the

24/2/42

6369

General and Revenue Secretariat | mk | File No | of 194 | [App F III-2

Serial No

PWDI

from the mk Commr, Bscf. the Pbs. ROC. C 1509/40 Dy 17-6-41 forwd and for orders of Govt the resolutions of the mk Council Dy 20-8-40 & 25-9-40 suggesting the redistribution of the area between the First and the Ninth Divisions in the city

1) forwd for orders with the reference file

CMB 6/7 for ~~Please call for the~~ the following information may be obtained

(1) the entire area of the Bangalore city

(2) the extent of the 9 divisions as they were existing with the no of seats to be elected

(3) the extent of the two/new divisions of the proposed change in effect with the consequent changes in the no of seats to be elected

WP 7-7

2) forwd with a draft letter for appl

CMB 7/7

3) Issue

WP 7-7

TYPIC 8 JULY 1941 BGA & R - TT

NOTE—Contn Sheet

6364 L 27 L

File No of 194 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

To the Mc Commissioner, Bcoast, thro' Pol
No L185 Dy 9-7-41
Requests him to furnish information on certain points
from the Addl Commissioner Mc Commr Bcoast, thro' the Govt
No C.1 C 1509/40 Dy 8-11-41
states that the question will be considered after the receipt of the sanction of Govt to the resolution of the Council relating to the abolition of nominations to the Council
4) Submitted for perusal.
5) It has been decided by Govt that the question of abolition of nominations to the Council may be considered at the next reconstitution of the council after three years. The Connected file is not readily available and is being traced
6) Submitted perusal
7) Pl keep up
15/11 14
15/11
8) Submitted Mc 119-40-28 relating to the subject as traced & placed
9) Reference is invited to the note & orders on Mc 119-40 etc
The papers were simply filed

DEWAN'S OFFICE
9 FEB 1942
No. 6937

"G"

Serial No

PRDT

(10) Certain information was called for from the Municipal Commissioner in this office letter No 185 dt 9.7.1941 instead of forwarding the details the Municipal Commr has sent further orders by his own resolution of the Municipal to the effect that the redistribution of seats in the city which may be taken up for consideration after completion of work to the proposed Greater Madras be further abolition of nominations to the city Municipal as received It will be seen from the office file portion that no orders were passed on the said proposals & it was merely filed this is for orders - if in the case also, the papers may not be filed

Lawsey 7 Feb
30/1/42

ROYAL NAVY DEPT
9 FEB 1942
SECRETARIAT
MINISTRY
2 1 JAN 1942
OFFICE OF THE I STR
FD L S G & P H
NOTE Coat Sheet
4.5.86

11 There are no elections now. Further the change can be effected only by amending the City Municipal Act At present there is no need to do so The Municipality may be so informed

Minister (L S G) 12/2/42 Dewan

Hm 31/1/42

WD 04155—GI B—6/36—2510-41

Hm from Hm 31/1/42 Hm 7.2.42

Abolition of Nominations — Bloc. to Mel Cl

2790

File No 66 / of 1941

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Submit with a draft letter for appl.
Ms
17/2
Dy
13/2

In appeal
Law Secy / for
14/2/42

RECY L 1403 ... GOVT OF MYSORE

17) Hm
2
16/2/42

19) Petns
for
11/2/42

19 FEB 2

To the Mel Commr, Bcsp. this Dy 12
No R7530 Dy 19-2-42
States that the proposal to abolish nominations to the Mel Cl involves an amendment of the provisions of the Cy Mcties Act & that there is no need to do so at present

0369

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No C, C 1504/40 Encl Four

20 JUNE 1941 H... /r OF MYSORE

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 17th June 1941

Re 66-41-9

From

S NARAYANA RAO, ESQ M A (HONS)
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

... V SEC ... 1941 ... S G BGA

To

H V NARAYANA RAO, ESQ , B A , LL B ,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

I have the honor to state that for purposes of municipal administration the City of Bangalore is divided into 9 Divisions with 30 Members of whom 6 are nominated, one is elected by the Chamber of Commerce and the remaining 23 are elected These 23 seats are distributed amongst the 9 divisions in accordance with G O No L 2620-23/M1 92-33-8 dated 27-10-33 During the year 1934, the Government called for the the views of the Municipal Council in the matter of introducing the Single-Member Ward System instead of the plural constituencies as it then existed and the Council were not in favour o the new system Again in 1936, The Government wanted the opinion of the Council in the matter of dividing the City into as many wards as there were seats in the Council, and this time also, the Council did not approve of it and when this was communicated to Government, they in their Letter No L 7201, dated 6-4-1937 wanted the Council to consider the entire question with the details worked out and give a considered opinion in the matter

In the meantime, on 24-1-1939, Mr R Venkataswami moved a proposition that as the present representation of elected members in the Council was not proportionate to the territorial extent existing at present the divisions and the members representing the same may be redistributed on the basis of area, and this was

was referred to a Select Committee, who on 3-7-1939 approved of the division of the City into 23 Wards and allotment of one seat to each ward, thus making the wards single membered constituencies When this came up before the Council on 5-8-1939 they resolved that this might lie over till the Report of the Reform Committee was published

Then on 8-2-1940, Mr R Venkataswami again moved another proposition that as the representation to the Council from the 1st Division as at present constituted is inadequate to the area and the population of the Division, it is resolved that it may be reconstituted as it was before 1932, or seats redistributed on the basis of area between the 1st and 9th Divisions when the Council accepted the redistribution of the area between the 1st and 9th Divisions and referred the matter to the Commissioner, for proposals Accordingly, a Note was placed before the Council suggesting that the Seshadripuram area may be taken away from the 1st Division and added on to Srirampuram, thus making it a portion of the 9th Division and this question along with the Ward System was considered by the Council at their meetings on 20-8-40 and 25-9-40 and they resolved that the extent between the 1st and 9th Divisions be redistributed according to my Note and that the Ward System might be dropped Copies of the resolutions of the Council dated 20-8-40 and 25-9-40 along with a copy of my Note and the Schedule showing the extent of the 1st and 9th Divisions after separating Seshadripuram from 1st and adding it on to the 9th Division, are herewith forwarded for the orders of Government in the matter

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
S. Varadarajan
Municipal Commissioner
19/4/41

Forwarded with compliments,
Absent from HQ Quarters)
Honorary President

Note on the Redistribution of Seats in the Council

The City is now divided into 9 Divisions and the elected number of seats, viz . 23. has been allotted as per G O No L 2620-23/M1 92-33-8 dated 27-10-1933

During the year 1934, the question of dividing the City into as many wards as there are number of seats was discussed by the Council and they resolved on 6-10-1934 that they were not in favour of introducing the Ward System

Subsequently in 1936 the Government again called for the views of the Council in the matter of introducing the Sihgle Member System (Ward System) and again this proposal was thrownout by the Council at their meeting on 13-6-1936

In the meantime, Mr R. Venkataswami moved a proposition on 24-1-39 that as the representation of the members in the Council was not adequate to the territorial extent as at present existing, the question of redistribution may be considered by the Council and the this was referred to a Select Committee, who at their meeting on 3-7-39 approved the recommendation of the Municipal Engineer for the division of the City into 23 Wards and allotment of one seat to each ward and when this opinion was placed before the Council, they at their meeting held on 5-8-39 resolved that the question may be deferred till the report of the Reforms Committee was published

Mr R Venkataswami again moved a proposition on 8-2-1940 that as the representation of the 1st Division is inadequate at xx present, to the area and population etc . it may be reconstituted on the basis of area between the 1st and 9th divisions The Council accepted the principle of redistribution of seats between the 1st and 9th divisions and referred the matter to the Commissioner for definite proposals in this behalf Accordingly the following proposals are put up basing the same on the election figures of 1936

Mr R enkataswami's contention is that both as regards extent and number of voters, the present 1st Division is too heavy for one member and wants either to give the Division one more seat or take away some portion from this area and tackle it on to the 9th Division which has three seats The question of enhancing the number of seats is not an easy one to solve if taken up but the other

other alternative, viz , reducing the extent of the Division,is feasible At present 1st Division comprises of High Grounds, Munireddipalyam, Doddaupparhalli, Chikka-Upparhalli, Dobspet, Guttanalli, Seshadripuram, Kempiah Block, Subedar Chatram Road Risaldar Road Railway Approach Road and the total number of voters is 854, rather big for one member Some relief can be given by taking away the Seshadripuram area, excluding Guttahalli and enkaterangapuram from this Division and additing it on to the 9th Division If this is done the number of voters will be reduced by 353 and the 1st Division will have 501 voters and the extent of the Division will be considerably reduced and would be adequate for one member

The 9th Division comprises of at present, Mallesvaram, Mohemadan Block, Srirampuram, Ranganathapur and Link Road and the number of voters is 1554 If 353 voters from Seshadripuram are added to that number the number would be 1907 or an average of 636 per seat, as there are three seats for that Division As the area is compact, this may perhaps be done While doing this, the fact that new extensions like Subedar Chatram Road Extension, Link Road Extension etc , have been formed and will increase the number of voters should be borne in mind)

If the principle of adding Seshadripuram to the 9th Division is accepted, then, the entire area of the 9th Division may be divided into 3 portions, Mallesvaram North, Mallesvaram South and Srirampuram, including Seshadripuram and the boundaries of these portions may be fixed as per annexed schedule and then Mallesvaram North and South will have 610 voters while Srirampuram block would have 686

The above proposals will perhaps meet with the requirements of the member and would also give almost equal number of voters to each of the three Councillors in the 9th Division

While on this subject, the Council may consider the question of dividing the whole City ijto 23 wards, each being represented by a single member The wards System seems to deserve favourable consideration in view of the several advantages of the system If this is approved, then the wards may be as per statement appended

Sd/- H Narayana Rao,
Mun Commissioner

Copy
S Narayana Rao 1916
Municipal Commissioner

S C H E D U L E

BOUNDARY FOR THE FIRST DIVISION INCLUDING GUTTAHALLI AND VENKATARANGAPURAM

EAST - Palace Road starting from Kantaraj Urs Circle Miller Road, Jayamahal Road

WEST - M S M Railway line starting from the underbridge, Sankey Road ~~beginning~~ including Guttahalli and Venkatarangapuram

NORTH - Jayamahal Road and C & M Station boundary including Munireddipalyam

SOUTH - Seshadri Road from Underbridge to Kantaraj Urs Circle

BOUNDARY FOR THE IX DIVISION

MALLESVARAM NORTH AND SOUTH

EAST - Link Road, Guttahalli and Venkatarangapuram border

WEST - M S R Line starting from South End Road

NORTH - Jewell Filters, Sankey Road Tumkur Road and City Municipal boundary

SOUTH - South End Road

SRIRAMPURAM BLOCK (INCLUDING SESHADRIPURAM)

EAST - M S M Railway Line Sankey Road and Bellary Road

WEST - City Municipal Boundary

NORTH - South End Road and Guttahalli border and M S R Line

SOUTH - Magadi Road, New Mysore Roadand a portion of M S M Line

Municipal Commissioner

Minutes of the Proceedings of the Ordinary General -- Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Tuesday the 20th August 1940 at 12 Noon in the Council -- Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore

---

Present

Dr M Royan, M D
Honorary President

Messrs

1 R Venkataswamy
2 N Balakrishnaiya, B A.,LL B
3 T L Gangoji Rao
4 B R Kavetirangappa
5 S Krishnappa, B A
6 K M. Naganna
7 B S Shankarappa Setty
8 I K Purushothama Naidu
9 D Venkataramanappa
10 B L Byanna
11 M Muniswamy
12 Singam Rangaswamappa
13 Tubagere Nanjappa
14 K Gubbaiya, B A , LL B ,
15 P Subbarama Chetty
16 P M Ramasarma
17 B M Madhava Rao, L M & S
18 M R Narasimha Iyengar
19 Mirza Azeezulla Beig
20 V Chikkayellappa
21 Sri R Kalyanamma

Absent
Messrs

1 Belur Srinivasa Iyengar,B A,B L
2 Vaidyaraj D Rameswara Sa
3 S N M Razvi
4 C M Garudachar, B A ,
5 M Krishnaswamy Pillay (leave)
6 S L Mannaji Rao
7 O S Nasarulla Sheriff,M A LL
8 B Abdur Rehmon, B A ,

Council Proceedings dated 20-8-40

(Deferred by GO Addl 27 & 22 of 1940)

Subject No 5 Re the redistribution of seats in the Council

Mr R Venkataswami moved that the Commissioner's Note may be accepted He observed that the first portion of the Note re to the distribution of seats in 1st and 9th Divisions and the second portion to the introduction of the Ward System and that the first portion may be approved

Mr B L Byanna supporting Mr R Venkataswami said that the question of the Ward System had come up before the House many times times and they had turned down the same and that even now they were were not for it and that the first portion of the Note may be accepted

Mr M R Narasimha Iyengar speaking next said that the Ward System was a healthy system in vogue in all most all the places, that the idea of this system was to divide and con entrate and not ' divide and rule' He observed that at present any person residing in any division could stand for any division and thus deprive the chances of the local candidate and under the Ward system, this danger would be avoided He supported the Ward system and opposed the redistribution of seats in 1st and 9th Divisions

Mr K Gubbiah speaking next said that since the subject was a very important one requiring a good deal of thought it was better it was deferred

Mr B M Madhava Rao seconded the above deferring proposition

Mr B L Byanna supporting i said that fresh elections for the reconstitution of the House are coming up and that it is not desirable to have any changes at this juncture

Mr N Balakrishniya speaking next said that he was not for total deferring of the subject, that the first portion of the Note referred to the redistribution of the seats in the 1st and 9th divisions which has been accepted by the House already and that the second portion re to the Ward System was not connected ith the first portion and so may be taken up later He also said that the clubbing of the two subjects was not quite correct as in as much as one was quite different from the other and that

it was ultra vires

The Commissioner explained to the Member that the question of Ward System had been accepted by the Select Committee and that when it came up before the Council, they had deferred it and in the meantime, the proposition of Mr R Venkataswami was moved and as the former subject had been deferred and as Government were also writing about the introduction of the Ward System and as the latter was referred to him for proposals, he had to make mentio of both and that there was nothing ultra vires

Mr Mirza Azeezulla Beig speaking next said that as the implications of the first portion of the Note and of the introduction of the Ward system were not known, it was better the subject was deferred in toto He said that the ward system affected the whole City and incidently the first and 9th divisions and thus the proposition of Mr R Venkataswami

Mr M R Narasimha Iyengar observed that as suggested by Mr V Gubbiah the whole subject may be deferred so that they may make a detailed study of both the ward system and the proposition of Mr R Venkataswami

Mr S Krishnappa also supported total deferring and said that the subject cannot be bifurcated and only one portion deferred

Mr P Subbaramm Chetty further supporting the deferring motion said that more information about the working of the Ward system in other localities may be got

Then the deferring proposition was put to vote, 8 voting for and 8 against

Sd/- M Royan,

Hon President

Copy

S Narasimhaiyengar

Municipal Commissioner 9/6

MCI

NO 10/40

Minutes of the Proceedings of the adjourned ordinary general meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Wednesday the 25 th September 1940 at 4 p m , in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Office, Bangalore

--000--

Present

Dr. M. Royan M D,
Honorary President.

Messrs.
1. R. Venkateswami.
2. N Lalakrishnaiya, B.A., LL.B
3. T L Gangoji Rao.
4. B.R.Kavetirangappa.
5. D. Rameswara Sa.
6 S Krishnappa, B.A.,
7 M Naganna
8 B S Shankarappa Setty.
9 I. K Purushothamma Naidu.
10 D. Venkataramanappa.
11.B L. Byanna
12. H Puttiswamy.
13 K Subbaiya B A., LL.B
14. P Ramasama
15 N Krishnas amy Pillay.
16. Dr B M Madhava Rao, L.M.&.S
17. M.R Narasimha Iyengar.
18. S L. Manjoji Rao.
19 O S. Nagarulla Sheriff. M
20. V Chickkayellappa. LL.B.
21 Sri R. kalyanamma.

--- 000 ---

Absent.

Messrs.
1.Belur Srinivasa Iyengar. B A B L.
2 S N.M.Razvi
3.Singam Pangaswamappa.
4.Mubagere Nanjappa
5. C.M Garudachar, B.A.
6 P. Subbarama Chetty.
7. B. Abdur Rahmon, B.A.
8. Mirza Azzezulla Beig.

--ooo000 000ooo--

Council Proceedings dated 25-9-40

Subject No 5? Re the redistribution of seats in the Municipal Council (This subject was left undecided at the last meeting as there was equality of votes)

Mr N Balakrishniya said that the subject had been fully discussed at the previous meeting and it was brought toxkkax before the Council again because there was equality of votes. He wanted that no further discussion be allowed and that the subject may be put to vote.

The President asked Mr Balakrishniya to point out the rule under which he wanted that no further discussion should be allowed on the subject, and as he failed to do so, the President said that with a view to afford an opportunity to such of the members who xxx were no present at the previous meeting to furnish their opinion in the matter, he would allow xxxxx discussion on the subject.

Mr S Vishnappa proposed that the consideration of the xxxjxx subject be deferred as the election rolls were ready.

This was lost for want of a seconder

Then the original proposition was taken up for further discussion

Mr M R Narasimha Iyengar speaking on the subject said that the Ward System was about the best and as the re-distribution of seats in I and IX Divisions would come into effect only after the General elections, it would not help Mr R Venkataswami, and he supported the ward system

Mr K Gubbiah speaking next observed that there were two things for consideration before the House One was the Commissioner's recommendation for re-distribution of seats in I and IX Divisions The other was the introduction of the Ward System Each had to be discussed on its own merits The two subjects should therefore be separated and discussed separate-ly. The grievance of Mr Venkataswami was that he had a big number of voters to deal with and he wanted that this should be xx reduced by transferring a portion of the first division to the ninth division, as it existed some time back .The other question of the introduction of the Ward System need not be xxx discussed now at all

Mr N.Balakrishniya speaking next observed that Mr. K Gubbiah had made it xxxxx very clear tht the two questions should

be discussed each on its own merits seperately. The Municipal Council had more than once rejected the ward system In 1932, the first division contained less number of voters R So Seshadripuram was added on to it having about 600 voters as a basis for one seat, accord according to the strength of the first division, there should be two seats. So the Commissioner had proposed to add some 300 voters to the 9th division There was great hardship in the first division- There was a big number of voters and the area of the division was extensive T There were two things before the House One was the proposition of Mr Venkataswami and the other the introduction of the Ward system As the latter had only been tackled on with the proposition the former may be considered first.

Mr S Krishnappa speaking said that the rate-payers of Seshadripuram had to be consulted before any action could be taken with regard to adding i on the ninth division.

Then portion No 1, proposition of Mr R Venkataswami was put to vote and carried 10 voting For and 3 against.

The other portion re. the introduction of the Ward System was then taken up

At this stage, Mr N Balakrishniya pointed out that nobody had moved the recommendation recommendation of the Municipal Commissioner for the introduction of the Ward System.

Thereupon Mr M R Narasimha Iyengar proposed that the Commissioner's note may be approved

Dr B M Madhava Rao seconded it

Mr S Krishnappa supported it

Then it was put to vote and lost, 5 voting For and 6 against

The President dissolved the meeting At this stage, Mr M R Narasimha Iyengar demanded a poll

The President observed that as he had already dissolved the meeting, poll could not be granted.

Sd/- M Royan,
Hon President.

Copy
S Narayanagar
Municipal Commissioner 9/6

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 4185

Dated Bangalore 9th July 1941

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City through the
President, City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C1 C 1509/40 dated 17th June 1941, in the matter of the redistribution of seats in the City Municipal Council, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points -

(1) the entire area of the City,

(2) the extent of each of the Nine Divisions as they now exist, the population of each Division and the number of seats allotted to each Division, and

(3) the extent of the First and the Ninth Divisions if the proposed change is effected with the consequent change in the number of members to be elected.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) For Secretary to Government,
Law Department

R 2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ml
File No 66-41
Serial No 10

Diary No of letter replied to

No 1185

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

The ml Commissioner, Bangalore

Sir,

Date of issue 9th July 1941

Signed by Mr. A. Arunachalam

with reference to your letter No C1 C 1509/40 Dy 17-6-41 in the matter of the redistribution of seats in the city ml Council, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points —

(1) the entire area of the city

(2) the extent of each of the Nine Divisions as they now exist, with the

List of enclosures

Copied by 9/7/41

Examined by

population of each Division of the
number of seats ~~to be elected~~ allotted to each Division and
(3) the extent of the First and the
Twelfth Divisions of the proposed
change is effected with the
Consequent change in the
number of ~~seats~~ members to be elected

I have etc

Dror Secy to Govt
Law Deptt
7/7

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

2790/L

No C 1 C 1509/40-
Encl

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 8th November 1941

MC 66-41-11





ABDUL AZIZ KHAN Esq B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

H V NARAYANA RAO, ESQ , B A ,LL B ,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No 185 of the 9th July 1941, re the redistribution of seats in the City Municipal Council, I have the honor to state that your letter under reference was placed before the present Council for their information, who referred it in turn to the Managing Committee The latter Committee resolved on 24-9-41 that this question may be dropped and this was placed before the Council on 25-10-41 when they resolved that this may be taken up for consideration after receipt of the sanction of Government to the resolution of the Council re the abolition of nominations, which was sent to you with this Office No C 1 C 1102/40 dated 15-3-1941 Copies of the opinion of the Managing Committee and the resolution of the Council are herein enclosed for favour of information and necessary orders

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Hon President

,,

msn

Minutes of the Proceedings of the Ordinary General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Saturday the 25th October 1941 at 3 P M in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore

-- oOo --

Present

W.H Hanumanthappa,Esq.,
Honorary President.

Messrs.
1 V K. Govindarajulu,B A ,B L
2 R Subbanna
3 N. Kesavaiengar,B A ,B L
4. Ramalal Thiwari
5 L S Raju,M A (Hons.),B L
6 V. Venkataramanappa
7. G Nanjappa
8. K. Hanumanthiah,B A.,LL B
9. T. Gangadharaswamy
10. B. Narasimhiah
11 V. Venkataswami Chetty
12. K. Ganga Setty
13 K N Ramaswami,B A
14 K. Shamarajaiengar,B A
15 C N Narasinga Rao,B A ,B L.
16. H.R. Guruv Reddy,B A.,LL B.
17. M.A. Gopalaswami Iyengar,M A.,B L.
18. M.K. Narayana Iyengar,B A ,B.L.
19 H.C Suryanarayana Rao
20. O.S Nasarulla Sheriff,M A ,LL.B.
21 A.J. Khaleel,B.A.,B L
22. M. Royan,M D
23. V. Chikkayellappa

Absent

Messrs,
1 A Madhava Iyengar,B A
2 M R Honnappa,B A ,B L
3. B Subbiah,B Sc ,LL B
4 Kota Kamakshiah Setty
5 Mirza M Azeezulla Beig
6. S N M Razvi

- oOo -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF COUNCIL DATED 25-10-1941

Subject No 15

Opinion of the Managing Committee dated 24-9-1941 that the question of re-distribution of seats in the Council may be dropped

---

Mr L S Raju moved that this Council resolves that the question ~~of~~ be taken up for consideration after receipt of the sanction of Government for the resolution of the Council with regard to the abolition of nominations

Mr M A Gopalswami Iyengar seconded

Res - Approved

Sd/- W H Hanumanthappa,
Hon President

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

msn

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGING COMMITTEE MEETING
DATED 24-9-1941

Subject No 1

Deferred Sub No 5/27-8-1941 Council Resolution No 21 dated the 16th August 1941 re referring the letter from the Law Secretary in the matter of re-distribution of seats in the Council

---

Res - The Committee is of opinion that the question be dropped

Sd/- W H Hanumanthappa,
Chairman

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

msn

Minutes of the Proceedings of the Ordinary General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Saturday the 16th August 1941 at 4 P M in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore.

-- oOo --

Present

H Hanumanthappa,Esq
Honorary President

Messrs

1. R Subbanna
2 N Iesavaiangar,B A ,B L
3 Ramalal Thiwari
4 L S Raju,M A (Pons),B L
5 V Venkataramanappa
6 G Nanjappa
7 V. Venkataswamy Chetty
8 K Ganga Setty
9 K N Ramaswamy,B A
10 K Shamarajaiengar,B.A.
11 C N Narasinga Rao,B.A.,B L
12 M A Gopalaswamy Iyengar,M A ,LL B
13 A Madhava Iyengar,B A
14 M.K Narayana Iyengar,B A ,B L
15 H C Suryanarayana Rao
16 B Subbiah,B Sc ,LL B.
17 O S Nasarulla Sheriff,M A ,LL B
18 S M M Razvi
19 A J Khaleel,B A ,B L
20 V Chikkayellappa

Absent

Messrs

1 V K Govinda Julu,B A ,B L
2 K Hanumanthiah,B A ,LL B
3 T Gangadharaswamy
4 B Narasimhiah
5 H R Guruv Reddy,B A ,LL B.
6 M R. Honnappa,B.A ,B L
7 Kota Kamakshiah Setty
8 Mirza M Azizulla Beig
9 Dr M Royan,M D.

-- oOo --

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL
DATED 16TH Aug 41

Subject No 21

Letter No L 185 dated 9-7-41 from the Law Secretary re the Redistribution of Seats in the Municipal Council between 1st and 9th Divisions, as resolved by the Council on 25Th September 1940

Mr L S Raju moved that this may be referred to the Managing Committee

Mr M A Gopalswami Iyengar seconded the same

Res - Approved

Sd/- W H Hanumanthappa,
Hon President

C o p y

Municipal Commissioner

man

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML
File No 66-41
Serial No 12

Diary No of letter replied to

No L7530

To The Hon. ML Commr Bangr. thro' P&S

Sir,

Genel al and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No CI C 1509/40 B dated 8-11-41 stating that the question of redistribution of the area between the First and the Ninth Divisions on the cuff may be taken up for consideration after receipt of sanction of Govt to the resolution of the ML CL regarding the abolition of nominations, I am directed to state that the proposal to abolish nominations to the ML CL can be effected only by amending the rules

Date of issue 19th Feb 42

Signed by Hon G Ramachandra

ML CL have at their Meeting held on 25.10.41 resolved that the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0521—GPB—30 000—12 3 37

of the provisions of the
the Supreme Courts Act 1933 &
that there is no need to do so
as there are [illegible] at present.

I have etc

for Crown Solicitor
16/12/42

WB
16/12
Law Dept